

# Singularity-free rotational Brownian dynamics

Wouter K. den Otter

3 October 2014

## 1 Background

- The configuration of a patchy particle is determined by the center of mass position  $\mathbf{R}^s$  in the laboratory frame and the rotation matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  relative to the lab frame. This matrix is parameterized by the rotational coordinates  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ; possible choices include the Euler angles  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ , the rotation vector  $\mathbf{a}$  (see Appendix 8.1), or the quaternions  $\mathbf{q}$  (see Appendix 8.3).
- The structure of a patchy particle is determined by the positions of the  $P$  patches, relative to the center of mass, in the body-fixed frame:  $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_p^b$ , with the bar highlighting a constant. The positions of the patches in the space or lab frame are calculated as  $\mathbf{r}_p^s = \mathbf{R}^s + \mathbf{A}\underline{\mathbf{r}}_p^b$ .
- The use of Euler angles is hampered by strong singularities.
- Using a rotation vector to define the rotation matrix softens the strong singularities to weak singularities. On the down side, the equations of motion become rather involved.
- The quaternions are singularity free, ‘but because there are four quaternions and only three degrees of rotational motion for a rigid body, the quaternions do not constitute a set of generalized coordinates that describes the angular orientation of rigid bodies’ [ Naess et al, Physica A 294 323 (2001) ]. ‘The Brownian dynamics of nanoparticles cannot therefore be readily described by quaternions’ [ Naess and Elgsaeter, Macromol. Theory Simul. 13 419 (2004) ].
- Given the lab-based Cartesian forces  $\mathbf{f}_p^s$  on the patches, the total lab-based Cartesian force on the particle is given by  $\mathbf{F}^s = \sum_p \mathbf{f}_p^s$  and the total lab-based Cartesian torque relative to the particle’s center of mass by  $\mathbf{T}^s = \sum_p (\mathbf{r}_p^s - \mathbf{R}^s) \times \mathbf{f}_p^s = \sum_p (\mathbf{A}\underline{\mathbf{r}}_p^b) \times \mathbf{f}_p^s$ .
- The translational and rotational mobility tensors,  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^t(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$  and  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{r,\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ , respectively, vary with the orientation of the particle. Given their values in the body-fixed frame,  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^t$  and  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^r$ , one readily shows (see below) that in the laboratory frame  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^t(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{A}\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^t\mathbf{A}^T$  and  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{r,\alpha} = \mathbf{A}\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^r\mathbf{A}^T$ . The superscript  $\alpha$  to the rotational mobility matrix stresses that this matrix depends on the chosen set of rotational coordinates.
- We will use  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(t)$  to denote two time-dependent column vectors whose elements each have zero mean, unit variance and are delta-correlated in time.

## 2 Classical mechanics

In classical mechanics, the angular momentum is related to the inertia tensor  $\mathbf{I}$  and angular velocity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  by

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I}\boldsymbol{\omega}. \quad (1)$$

Its time derivative reads in an inertial frame as

$$\dot{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{T}^s. \quad (2)$$

This equation of motion is readily solved<sup>1</sup> using the following low order integration algorithm, where for clarity we have added superscripts s and b to distinguish between vectors in the space- or laboratory-fixed frame and vectors in the non-inertial body-fixed frame:<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathbf{L}^s(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{L}^s(t) + \mathbf{T}^s(t)\Delta t, \quad (3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^b(t + \Delta t) = (\mathbf{I}^b(t + \Delta t))^{-1}\mathbf{L}^b(t + \Delta t) \approx (\underline{\mathbf{I}}^b)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{L}^s(t + \Delta t), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{q}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(t)\boldsymbol{\omega}^b(t + \Delta t)\Delta t, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t)/|\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t)| \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t)). \quad (7)$$

Here  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$  is the  $4 \times 3$  matrix obtained by eliminating the first column of the  $\mathbf{B}$  matrix; both are detailed in Appendix 8.4. Note that this approach does not require the inertia tensor to be diagonal. Rather than simply rescaling  $\mathbf{q}$  to its proper length, as in Eq. (6), it would be more appropriate to constrain.

There exists a similar scheme that uses lab-fixed quantities only, of the form

$$\mathbf{L}^s(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{L}^s(t) + \mathbf{T}^s(t)\Delta t, \quad (8)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^s(t + \Delta t) = (\mathbf{I}^s(t + \Delta t))^{-1}\mathbf{L}^s(t + \Delta t) \approx \mathbf{A}(t)(\underline{\mathbf{I}}^b)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{L}^s(t + \Delta t), \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{q}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(t)\boldsymbol{\omega}^s(t + \Delta t)\Delta t, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t)/|\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t)| \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t)). \quad (12)$$

A problem surfacing in Eqs. (4) and (9) is that both employ the rotation matrix at  $t$  rather than at  $t + \Delta t$ . One solution would be to iterate. Forward extrapolation can be used to start with an improved initial value of  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}(t) + \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)\Delta t)$ .

### 3 Brownian dynamics

#### 3.1 Equation of motion

The generic equation of motion for Brownian dynamics of any set of generalized coordinates  $\mathbf{Q}$  has the form

$$\mathbf{Q}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{Q}(t) = -\boldsymbol{\mu}^Q \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}} \Delta t + k_B T \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{Q}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}^Q \Delta t + (\boldsymbol{\mu}^Q)^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\Theta}(t) \sqrt{2k_B T \Delta t}, \quad (13)$$

where the terms on the right hand side represent

- the average velocity, resulting from the force balance between free-energy ‘forces’ and friction forces, as discussed in more detail below;
- a term to compensate for the spurious-drift that arises in BD algorithms with a coordinate-dependent friction;
- a Markovian stochastic contribution, related to the friction and the temperature by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

<sup>1</sup>The conventional way, e.g. in Goldstein section 5.5, is to translate time derivatives of vectors from one frame to the next:  $\mathbf{T}^s = \dot{\mathbf{L}}^s = [\dot{\mathbf{L}}^b + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{L}]^s$ . In the body-fixed frame that diagonalizes the inertia tensor,  $L_\alpha^b = \underline{L}_{\alpha\alpha}^b \omega_\alpha^b$ , this yields the Euler equations  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^b = (\underline{\mathbf{I}}^b)^{-1} (\mathbf{T}^b - \boldsymbol{\omega}^b \times \underline{\mathbf{I}}^b \boldsymbol{\omega}^b)$ .

<sup>2</sup>Is this in the body fixed frame or in the frame that momentarily shares the body’s orientation, or ...?

From Eulerian angular velocities to body-fixed angular velocities, Goldstein Eq. (4.87):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1^b \\ \omega_2^b \\ \omega_3^b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 \\ \sin \theta \cos \psi & -\sin \psi & 0 \\ \cos \theta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (227)$$

Jacobian

$$\left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}^b}{\partial \mathbf{Q}} \right| = \sin \theta \quad (228)$$

### 8.3 Quaternions

Euler-Rodrigues formula:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2q_1q_2 - 2q_0q_3 & 2q_1q_3 + 2q_0q_2 \\ 2q_1q_2 + 2q_0q_3 & q_0^2 - q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2q_2q_3 - 2q_0q_1 \\ 2q_1q_3 - 2q_0q_2 & 2q_2q_3 + 2q_0q_1 & q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (229)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2q_2^2 - 2q_3^2 & 2q_1q_2 - 2q_0q_3 & 2q_1q_3 + 2q_0q_2 \\ 2q_1q_2 + 2q_0q_3 & 1 - 2q_1^2 - 2q_3^2 & 2q_2q_3 - 2q_0q_1 \\ 2q_1q_3 - 2q_0q_2 & 2q_2q_3 + 2q_0q_1 & 1 - 2q_1^2 - 2q_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (230)$$

The first form is called ‘homogeneous’, the second form ‘inhomogeneous’.

In index notation:

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} (q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2) + 2q_\alpha q_\beta - 2q_0 \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} q_\gamma. \quad (231)$$

Relation to other sets of rotation coordinates:

- Rotation by an angle  $a$  around a unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 &= \cos(a/2) \\ q_1 &= \hat{a}_x \sin(a/2) \\ q_2 &= \hat{a}_y \sin(a/2) \\ q_3 &= \hat{a}_z \sin(a/2) \end{aligned} \quad (232)$$

- Euler angles

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 &= \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta \cos \frac{1}{2}(\phi + \psi) \\ q_1 &= \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta \cos \frac{1}{2}(\phi - \psi) \\ q_2 &= \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta \sin \frac{1}{2}(\phi - \psi) \\ q_3 &= \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta \sin \frac{1}{2}(\phi + \psi) \end{aligned} \quad (233)$$

(Copied from Allen and Tildesley as is.)

### 8.4 Quaternion time derivatives

With  $q_0$  referred to as the scalar parameter and  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$  as the vector parameter,

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + 2q_0(\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \times \mathbf{r}) + 2(\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \times (\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \times \mathbf{r})). \quad (234)$$

The rotation matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  turns the body-fixed vector  $\mathbf{r}^b$  into the space-fixed vector  $\mathbf{r}^s = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}^b$ . Combining the two expressions for the velocity of this point in the lab frame,

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}^s = \dot{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{r}^b, \quad (235)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}^s = [\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}]^s = \mathbf{A}[\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}]^b, \quad (236)$$

it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^b \times \mathbf{r}^b = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{r}^b. \quad (237)$$

Evaluation of the matrix product yields

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{1\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha 1} = 2q^2 (\mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}) \quad (238)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{2\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha 2} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{3\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha 3} = 2q^2 (\mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}) \quad (239)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{2\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha 1} = 2q^2 (-\dot{q}_0 q_3 + \dot{q}_1 q_2 - \dot{q}_2 q_1 + \dot{q}_3 q_0) \quad (240)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{3\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha 1} = 2q^2 (+\dot{q}_0 q_2 + \dot{q}_1 q_3 - \dot{q}_2 q_0 - \dot{q}_3 q_1) \quad (241)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{3\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha 2} = 2q^2 (-\dot{q}_0 q_1 + \dot{q}_1 q_0 + \dot{q}_2 q_3 - \dot{q}_3 q_2) \quad (242)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha\gamma} = -(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\gamma\alpha} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} \right)_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (243)$$

Element-by-element comparison with

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^b \times \mathbf{r}^b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_z^b & \omega_y^b \\ \omega_z^b & 0 & -\omega_x^b \\ -\omega_y^b & \omega_x^b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}^b \quad (244)$$

then gives<sup>12</sup>

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_x^b \\ \omega_y^b \\ \omega_z^b \end{pmatrix} = 2q^2 \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & q_0 & q_3 & -q_2 \\ -q_2 & -q_3 & q_0 & q_1 \\ -q_3 & q_2 & -q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_0 \\ \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \\ \dot{q}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}^b = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (245)$$

with the unit length constraint translating into  $\mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ . The latter two equations can be combined into

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}^T \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{q}} \quad (246)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = 2q^2 \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ -q_1 & q_0 & q_3 & -q_2 \\ -q_2 & -q_3 & q_0 & q_1 \\ -q_3 & q_2 & -q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (247)$$

<sup>12</sup> Caution: any vector  $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$  yields a vector  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ , but proper rotations require  $\mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ .

Inversion gives<sup>13</sup>

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{B} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} & \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\boldsymbol{\omega}^b \quad (248)$$

with

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2q^4} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \\ q_1 & q_0 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ q_2 & q_3 & q_0 & -q_1 \\ q_3 & -q_2 & q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{2q^4} \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \\ q_0 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_0 & -q_1 \\ -q_2 & q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (249)$$

Comparing these matrices with their inverse yields

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = 4q^6 \mathbf{B}^T, \quad (250)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = 4q^6 \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^T. \quad (251)$$

For rotation matrices  $q^2 = 1$ , in which case

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = 4\mathbf{B}^T, \quad (252)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = 4\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^T. \quad (253)$$

and even

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{1}, \quad (254)$$

though the  $3 \times 4$  matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$  and the  $4 \times 3$  matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$  are clearly not eachother's inverse.

By analogy with Eq. (237), it is also possible to link the time derivatives of the quaternions directly to the lab-based angular velocities:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^s \times \mathbf{r}^s = \dot{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{r}^b = \dot{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}^s, \quad (255)$$

etcetera.

For the quaternion equations of motion in the lab frame,

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}^s = \dot{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{r}^b = \dot{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{r}^s, \quad (256)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}^s = [\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}]^s. \quad (257)$$

Evaluation of the matrix product yields

$$\left( \frac{d\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{dt} \right)_{1\alpha} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\alpha 1} = 2q^2 (\mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}) \quad (258)$$

$$\left( \frac{d\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{dt} \right)_{2\alpha} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\alpha 1} = 2q^2 (-\dot{q}_0 q_3 - \dot{q}_1 q_2 + \dot{q}_2 q_1 + \dot{q}_3 q_0) \quad (259)$$

$$\left( \frac{d\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{dt} \right)_{3\alpha} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\alpha 1} = 2q^2 (+\dot{q}_0 q_2 - \dot{q}_1 q_3 - \dot{q}_2 q_0 + \dot{q}_3 q_1) \quad (260)$$

$$\left( \frac{d\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{dt} \right)_{3\alpha} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\alpha 2} = 2q^2 (-\dot{q}_0 q_1 + \dot{q}_1 q_0 - \dot{q}_2 q_3 + \dot{q}_3 q_2) \quad (261)$$

---

<sup>13</sup>This is exactly identical to Eq. (3.37) in Allen and Tildesley. Note that they use the transposed definition of  $\mathbf{A}$ , because their  $\mathbf{A}$  rotates from space fixed to body fixed.

Element-by-element comparison with

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^s \times \mathbf{r}^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_z^s & \omega_y^s \\ \omega_z^s & 0 & -\omega_x^s \\ -\omega_y^s & \omega_x^s & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}^s \quad (262)$$

then gives<sup>12</sup>

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_x^s \\ \omega_y^s \\ \omega_z^s \end{pmatrix} = 2q^2 \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & q_0 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ -q_2 & q_3 & q_0 & -q_1 \\ -q_3 & -q_2 & q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_0 \\ \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \\ \dot{q}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}^s = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (263)$$

provided  $\mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ . Combining the last two equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}^T \\ \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{q}} \quad (264)$$

where

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1} = 2q^2 \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ -q_1 & q_0 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ -q_2 & q_3 & q_0 & -q_1 \\ -q_3 & -q_2 & q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (265)$$

Inversion gives

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{C} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \boldsymbol{\omega}^s, \quad (266)$$

with

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{2q^4} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \\ q_1 & q_0 & q_3 & -q_2 \\ q_2 & -q_3 & q_0 & q_1 \\ q_3 & q_2 & -q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \frac{1}{2q^4} \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \\ q_0 & q_3 & -q_2 \\ -q_3 & q_0 & q_1 \\ q_2 & -q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (267)$$

An alternative derivation, by comparing the equations of motion in the lab frame with those in the body frame, gives

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2q^4} \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \\ q_0 & q_3 & -q_2 \\ -q_3 & q_0 & q_1 \\ q_2 & -q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (268)$$

in perfect agreement.

## 8.5 Inertia tensor

The  $4 \times 4$  product of the tilded matrices gives

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{q^2} \begin{pmatrix} q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 & -q_0 q_1 & -q_0 q_2 & -q_0 q_3 \\ -q_1 q_0 & q_0^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 & -q_1 q_2 & -q_1 q_3 \\ -q_2 q_0 & -q_2 q_1 & q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_3^2 & -q_2 q_3 \\ -q_3 q_0 & -q_3 q_1 & -q_3 q_2 & q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (269)$$

$$= \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{q^2} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^T, \quad (270)$$